

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,934	08/31/2000	Francisco Morales Serrano	PHD 99-112	3252
75	90 10/01/2002			
Algy Tamoshunas U S Philips Corporation Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
580 White Plain Tarrytown, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 657 934	Applicant(s) Serrano	at al		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Un			
	M. Buda	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence	e address		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE N	IAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	within the statutory minimipire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be cons the mailing date of this communi	idered timely. cation .		
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (closed in		
Disposition of Claims					
X Claim(s) /- 10	is/are pending in the	annlication			
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
	·				
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restricti	on or election			
Application Papers		requirement.			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the		•			
received.					
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the Intern 					
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)		-			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Patent Appl	ication, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other				
	otion Cummon.		·		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ________

Art Unit: 2834

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figs. 3-5 (CD drive apparatus, claim 10), fig. 6 (shaving deice, claim 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,934

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Budd/ds

09/27/02

RAWARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 213